UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,940	06/07/2006	Tetsuo Fujii	30794.108-US-WO	1440
22462 GATES & COC	7590 07/07/200 DPER LLP	EXAMINER		
	GHES CENTER	JACKSON JR, JEROME		
LOS ANGELES	DRIVE WEST, SUITI S, CA 90045	E 1050	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2815	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/581,940	FUJII ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 F 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.	
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the correct and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the correct and the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Expression of the oath or declaration of the oath of	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 4/3/09 has been entered.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-16, 25 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. There is no original support for the new language "each of the cones is at least the size of the wavelength of the light extracted through the surface".

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent for "the nitrogen face (N-face) of the LED". There is no antecedent for "the wavelength of light". There is no exact diode structure claimed. The structure of the "diode" is vague and indefinite. The language "based" is vague and indefinite. The language "an emitting layer" is indefinite as there is no structural relationship of such layer (with any other layer?) in the indefinite "diode" structure. "Size" is indefinite. Other claims are rejected for dependence on claim 1.

Claim 2 contradicts claim 1 as "one" cone is not a plurality of cones.

Claim 16 is indefinite as "epoxy on the structured surface" has no antecedent and is indefinite.

Claim 17 is vague and indefinite as no diode structure is defined, similar to claim

1. The language "based" is indefinite. The language "an emitting layer" is indefinite.

There language "structuring" is indefinite. The language "to enhance" is indefinite.

Enhanced from what to what?

Claim 18 is indefinite as "structured" and "enhance" are indefinite.

Claim 20 is indefinite as "n-type layer" does not have antecedent.

Other claims are rejected for dependence on a rejected claim.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-26, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Palacios et al, (P), of record.

Palacios discloses an N-face of a GaN layer etched with KOH to form pyramids (cones) enhancing extraction of photoluminescent light. Claim 1 does not define any "diode" structure distinguishing over Palacios. The label "diode" in claim 1 does not inherently define a p-n junction in claim 1 and there is no p-layer claimed. There is no specific "diode" structure claimed and no distinction over Palacios. The very purpose of Palacios is to enhance extraction of light out of the structure, therefore the pyramids are designed to be of a "size" to enhance light extraction. Claim 1 reciting cone sizes for enhancing light extraction is prima facie obvious or anticipated over Palacios. Figure 1 of Palacios discloses etching depths up to 9000 angstroms. The photoluminescent light extracted out of the Palacios device peaks at 3.485 eV (approx. 3200 angstroms). Therefore Palacios discloses pyramids (cones) at least the size (height or depth) of the wavelength of emitted light. Claim 1 is rejected.

Claim 2 is rejected as there are multiple pyramids.

Claim 3 is rejected as P functions in the same manner.

Claims 4-6 and 8 are rejected as the final product does not distinguish over P regardless of the method used to make it. See the previously recited caselaw on product by process claims.

Art Unit: 2815

Claim 7 is rejected as it would have been prima facie obvious to fabricate p-n junctions in the Palacios material to form diodes, lasers, detectors, etc. See the Introduction on the first page of P.

Claims 15 and 16 are rejected as the pyramids of Palacios are likewise structured.

Claims 17 and 19 are rejected as P fabricates a structured N-face GaN light emitting "diode", diode here being merely a label for an emission structure as no particular "diode" structure is claimed.

Claim 20 is rejected as above.

Claim 22 is rejected as P practices a wet etch.

Claim 23 is rejected as there is no particular photo method claimed to distinguish over the etching of P, where photons inherently are present during the etching and effect the etching.

Claim 24 is rejected as the N-face of P is structured by roughening to form pyramids.

Claims 25 and 26 are likewise rejected.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Palacios in view of Motoki 6,468,882 and Nishida et al APL Vol. 79 No.6, August 6, 2001 "Efficient ...GaN and Wong et al October 30, 2000 "InGaN...laser lift off".

P discloses cones for enhanced GaN light emission. Motoki discloses c-plane
GaN substrates for GaN based emitters as P as GaN substrates are better lattice
matched to GaN emitters and enable contacts to GaN material. It would have been
obvious to practice a GaN based substrate with cones from P. From Nishida p-n
junction light emitting diode structure is obvious for GaN based devices. From Wong dry

etching is obvious alternative etching for forming a grooves and p-n junction diode structure. all claims, as best understood, are obvious.

Applicant's arguments filed 4/3/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues P does not disclose cones the size of the wavelength of emitted light. First the wavelength is not definite, secondly, the recitation is new matter, and thirdly, P discloses emission of light wavelengths of the order of the cone size.

Regarding the fabrication claims, the language "based" is indefinite, and contrary to the arguments, P discloses cone formation on the N-face by etching. The arguments are unpersuasive.

Regarding n-type layers, LED diodes in the applied art teach n-type and p-type layers for LED emission structures, And P clearly discloses "cones" or pyramids on an N-face to enhance extraction of photons. The arguments are unconvincing of patentability.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571-272-1730. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on 571-272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/581,940 Page 7

Art Unit: 2815

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jerome Jackson Jr./
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2815